


Q1 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current premise definitions?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 85.71% | 6 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 14.29% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 7 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Works well no reason to change | 2/13/2021 1:39 PM |

Q2 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the approach to dealing with different types of premises licence applications apart from the changes highlighted above?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 7 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 7 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Works ok | 2/13/2021 1:39 PM |

Q3 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the approach to dealing with license issues relating to petrol stations and garages?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 71.43% 5 |
| No | 14.29% 1 |
| Not sure | 14.29% 1 |
| TOTAL | 7 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | Alcohol must not be sold at petrol stations . Petrol stations are open 24/7 so unscrupulous traders will sell alcohol to drivers . Must never happen | 2/13/2021 1:41 PM |
| 2 | I disagree with sales of alcohol at petrol stations. There are already plenty of outlets available - late night supermarkets, 'corner shops' etc where alcohol can be purchased around the clock. To encourage the sale (and therefore consumption) of alcohol in a service station is unnecessary from a convenience point of view and counter productive in expecting people to drink responsibly. | 1/29/2021 1:55 PM |

Q4 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the approach to dealing with licence issues relating to circuses?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 1




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 83.33% | 5 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 16.67% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | With the exception that they must leave the area as they found it if not cleaner and tidy | 2/13/2021 1:42 PM |

Q5 Do you agree with the analysis of the Police data contained within the draft Cumulative Impact Assessment?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 1




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 83.33% | 5 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 16.67% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | The town is the sum of its components thus must be considered as a whole | 2/13/2021 1:45 PM |

Q6 Do you agree with the proposed approach to dealing with licensing applications in the town centre

Answered: 6 Skipped: 1




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 6 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Yes works fine | 2/13/2021 1:45 PM |

Q7 Do you think that the area to which the proposed LP3 (Cumulative Impact Policy) applies is right?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 1




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 83.33% | 5 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 16.67% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Needs no change | 2/13/2021 1:45 PM |

Q8 Do you think that the four original Sensitive Licensing Areas should be retained?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 75.00% | 3 |
| No | 25.00% | 1 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | With the addition of any other roads or areas as maybe necessary. Street drinking blights the town and needs to be much more tightly controlled. Far too many retailers dont care and see it as money in the till. Shops such as [REDACTED] has drunks out side every night. If necessary shop stock should be sprayed with smart water . People in the parade at night with packs of beer fighting is not family friendly is it | 2/13/2021 2:01 PM |

Q9 Do you agree with the approach of the Sensitive Licensing Areas in that licensing officers will seek conditions to: minimise the risk of anti-social behaviour from the availability of high-strength alcohol to street drinkers; minimise the risk of nuisance from premises applying for significantly different hours to existing premises, and; minimise the risk of litter and other associated public nuisance from a concentration of late-night takeaways?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 4 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Yes if they want a licence then they are responsible for keeping 50 feet from their front door swept and tidy. Look at the [REDACTED] 500 cigarette butts . | 2/13/2021 2:01 PM |

Q10 Do you agree that those locations within and around the town centre which will no longer fall under the special town centre policy should be made a new Sensitive Licensing Area?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 4 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY, AND PLEASE PROVIDE ANY EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT YOUR REASONS | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Increase regulation so that powers can be used if required. Far too many premises do not care enough about their adverse impact on the state of litter and loitering. | 2/13/2021 2:01 PM |

Q11 If you are in support of creating a new Sensitive Licensing Area in the Town Centre (question 10), are there are any other issues apart from minimising the risk of anti-social behaviour from the activities of street drinkers, the risk of nuisance from premises applying for significantly different hours to existing premises, and the risk of litter and other associated public nuisance from late-night takeaways that you would like to see addressed through policy for these areas?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 25.00% | 1 |
| No | 75.00% | 3 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Yes premises must be responsible for cleaning and not allowing loitering out side. If restaurants have folk on the pavement smooking, then before they go home they must have swept it tidy. If a person drops one bit of litter is a big fine. However 200 cigarettes ends out side a bar or restaurant nothing. How can this be right fair and equitable. | 2/13/2021 2:01 PM |

Q12 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current link between the licensing and planning regimes?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 75.00% 3 |
| No | 0.00% 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% 1 |
| TOTAL | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Must be linked | 2/13/2021 2:01 PM |

Q13 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to update this section apart from the changes highlighted above?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 4 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY | DATE |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Works ok | 2/13/2021 2:02 PM |

Q14 Do you believe that the conditions laid out in policy LP6 are sufficient to promote the licensing objective relating to crime and disorder?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 75.00% 3 |
| No | 0.00% 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% 1 |
| TOTAL | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Good since policy was written . | 2/13/2021 2:03 PM |

Q15 Do you believe that the conditions laid out in policy LP7 are sufficient to promote the licensing objective relating to public safety?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 4 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|-------------------------|------|
| | There are no responses. | |

Q16 Do you believe that the conditions laid out in policy LP8 are sufficient to promote the licensing objective relating to public nuisance?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 75.00% 3 |
| No | 0.00% 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% 1 |
| TOTAL | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | With the provision that enforcement can be much swifter and maybe tougher. | 2/13/2021 2:04 PM |

Q17 Do you believe that the conditions laid out in policy LP9 are sufficient to promote the licensing objective relating to the protection of children from harm?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 75.00% 3 |
| No | 0.00% 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% 1 |
| TOTAL | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Yes its ok | 2/13/2021 2:04 PM |

Q18 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current approach to films that need to be certified by the council's Licensing Officers?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 100.00% | 4 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|-------------------------|------|
| | There are no responses. | |

Q19 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current approach to representations against applications?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 75.00% | 3 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | WBC needs to make some of the processes simpler. An obvious direct link to licensing so you can email easier. Remote working is now permanent . If someone wants to complain it should be much more obvious. This may get premises more likely to mind out what they do and not do. Remember Watford has 100,000 potential pairs of eyes on the street. | 2/13/2021 2:09 PM |

Q20 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current approach to complaints that are made about licensed premises?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 75.00% 3 |
| No | 0.00% 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% 1 |
| TOTAL | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | So long as its easy to register a complaint | 2/13/2021 2:10 PM |

Q21 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current way we deal with requests to review a licence?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 75.00% | 3 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | No allways have the committee to oversee | 2/13/2021 2:10 PM |

Q22 Do you agree with the proposal NOT to change the current approach to enforcement?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Yes | 75.00% | 3 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not sure | 25.00% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |

| # | PLEASE TELL US WHY. | DATE |
|---|-------------------------|------|
| | There are no responses. | |


Q23 Please tell us any other comments on our policy

Answered: 2 Skipped: 5

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | <p>There is a part where there is mention of abuse of premises staff and dps . It specifically mentions homophobia obviously this needs to be rewritten to embrace the whole abuse including trans phobia . With the rise in alcohol consumption and how people see it as right rather than a privilege, licenced staff need more protection . At some stage a simple process to be able to stop problem drinkers accessing alcohol needs to be put in place. If nothing else for their own sake. Think about the brick wall Park Av and Whipendale rd . ! Almost impossible to pass that area and not to see 3-6 folk and a serious amount of alcohol around them</p> | 2/13/2021 2:19 PM |
| 2 | <p>The only comment would be that consideration could be given to encouraging vendors to promote responsible discarding of rubbish by consumers. Takeaway food wrapping and cans in particular are often discarded on streets and in people's gardens.</p> | 2/11/2021 9:13 AM |

Q24 Please tell us in what capacity you are answering this survey

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Local resident | 50.00% | 2 |
| Watford Borough councillor | 0.00% | 0 |
| On behalf of a local business | 25.00% | 1 |
| On behalf of a local community group or organisation | 25.00% | 1 |
| On behalf of a council partner / stakeholder | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |